

**EXHIBIT 1**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE )  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION )  
 ) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK  
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: )  
ALL ACTIONS. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF ARNNON GESHURI

AUGUST 17, 2012

Reported by: Rosalie A. Kramm, CSR No. 5469, CRR

09:43:37 1 expanded. So it wasn't actually a change of role. It  
09:43:40 2 was just acknowledgment that I was doing the right -- the  
09:43:43 3 right thing in my job. So my job responsibilities still  
09:43:47 4 focused on that -- on that core thing of how do I create  
09:43:50 5 infrastructure that will scale with the organization. So  
09:43:53 6 it must have been within the first eight months probably,  
09:43:55 7 eight months to a year, I was promoted to the first level  
09:44:00 8 director, and then it was -- it was probably, you know, a  
09:44:06 9 year, year-and-a-half after that, that I was promoted to  
09:44:09 10 senior director of -- of staffing.

09:44:14 11 Q. Okay. So -- so after your change in title to  
09:44:20 12 first-level director, I believe you said that your  
09:44:24 13 responsibilities remained the same. So would it be  
09:44:26 14 correct to say that -- that your staffing  
09:44:29 15 responsibilities encompassed your responsibilities as a  
09:44:33 16 first level director of staffing?

09:44:35 17 A. Yes.

09:44:35 18 Q. So there were no other categories or additional  
09:44:38 19 duties that were added at that time?

09:44:39 20 A. No. It was just scaling the operations. So --  
09:44:42 21 so the scope of the role expanded, so instead of having  
09:44:46 22 the 40 recruiters when I started, you know, I grew the  
09:44:50 23 organization to almost 900 staffing professionals. So  
09:44:52 24 you could see the scale of -- of what needed to be done.  
09:44:56 25 It just expanded.

09:44:58 1 And then creating the infrastructure that would  
09:45:00 2 support all the amazing talent, because we would have  
09:45:04 3 over -- every year we'd have over 2 million applications  
09:45:07 4 that would come to us. We would do 160,000 on-site  
09:45:12 5 interviews in a year. We would be hiring 200 people a  
09:45:17 6 week. I mean this was -- it was a grand scale, and it  
09:45:20 7 was during the -- the amazing growth of the company. So  
09:45:24 8 my responsibility was -- to solve those goals, to get the  
09:45:29 9 talent onboard, it was scaling that operation. So it --  
09:45:32 10 it provided amazing talent for the company.

09:45:35 11 Q. Sure. Okay. So then your third title, I  
09:45:43 12 guess, was senior director of staffing?

09:45:45 13 A. Uh-huh. That's correct.

09:45:48 14 Q. Okay.

09:45:49 15 A. The same responsibilities.

09:45:50 16 Q. Right. And I believe you testified earlier  
09:45:55 17 that you had a hybrid --

09:45:57 18 A. My last year there.

09:45:58 19 Q. -- role --

09:45:59 20 A. 1 -- I did four -- you know, four years in --  
09:46:01 21 in the staffing function and then I wanted to do a  
09:46:04 22 little -- something a little bit different, so I did  
09:46:06 23 employee relations for the HR -- kind of HR director  
09:46:11 24 in -- more generalized for the engineering organization.

09:46:14 25 Q. Okay. So that was your fourth title?

Deposition of Arnon Geshuri

In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

1 I, Rosalie A. Kramm, Certified Shorthand  
2 Reporter licensed in the State of California, License No.  
3 5469, hereby certify that the deponent was by me first  
4 duly sworn and the foregoing testimony was reported by me  
5 and was thereafter transcribed with computer-aided  
6 transcription; that the foregoing is a full, complete,  
7 and true record of said proceedings.

8 I further certify that I am not of counsel or  
9 attorney for either of any of the parties in the  
10 foregoing proceeding and caption named or in any way  
11 interested in the outcome of the cause in said caption.


12 The dismantling, unsealing, or unbinding of the  
13 original transcript will render the reporter's  
14 certificates null and void.

15 In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand  
16 this day: August 24, 2012.

17  X  Reading and Signing was requested.

18 \_\_\_\_\_ Reading and Signing was waived.

19 \_\_\_\_\_ Reading and signing was not requested.

20  
21  
22   
23  
24 ROSALIE A. KRAMM, CSR NO. 5469, CRR  
25